



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,872	11/08/2001	John Lin	BP 1907	5330
7590	03/09/2005		EXAMINER	
James A. Harrison P.O Box 670007 Dallas, TX 75367			DOAN, DUC T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2188	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/008,872

Applicant(s)

LIN ET AL.

Examiner

Duc T. Doan

Art Unit

2188

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 November 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5-6 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☒ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-22 are in the application.

Claims 1-22 are rejected.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: missing date in signature of one inventor

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: full names of abbreviated GPSK and RF should be used for the initial recitation in the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 , 16-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Auckland et al (US Pub 2002/0183013) and in view of Loyer (US 4652874).

As for claim 1, Auckland describes a wireless transceiver device, comprising: modulation circuitry for modulating and demodulating signals that are transmitted over the airwaves (Fig 1: #100); frequency conversion circuitry for up converting and down converting between radio frequency signals and baseband frequency signals (Fig 1: #100); digital-to-analog conversion circuitry for converting from analog to digital and from digital to analog (Fig 1: #100) [The RF/IF section 104 includes a receive module 110, a transmit module 112 and a frequency synthesizer 114. The receive module 110 generally includes a low noise amplifier (LNA), frequency downconversion, filtering, demodulation, analog to analog to digital conversion, etc., as indicated in FIG. 1. The transmit module 112 generally includes a frequency upconversion,

Art Unit: 2188

filter, digital to analog conversion and modulation as indicated in FIG. 1; Auckland's paragraph 4, lines 1-8]; a radio controller (Fig 1: #100) [The radio 100 includes a digital or baseband section 102, a radio frequency-to-intermediate frequency (RF/IF) section 104 and a radio frequency (RF) section 106; Auckland's paragraph 2, lines 1-2]; and baseband processing circuitry (Fig 1: #108 DSP) . Auckland does not describe the memory structure for storing addresses for accessing data blocks. However, Loyer describes a frame descriptor queue that functioning as a first in, first out memory structure (Fig 6: queue FD lists) capable of storing the addresses associated with data to be transferred (Fig 6: data buffer) [a pointer to the associated BD, and a pointer to the next element on FD queue; Loyer's column 9, lines 33-34]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include memory structures as suggested by Loyer in Auckland's system to dynamically allocate buffers to appropriate queue (Loyer's column 10, lines 6-7).

As for claims 2-4 and 8-10 correspondingly, the rejection in claim 1 is incorporated herein. The claims recite the wireless transceiver of claim 1 further including a plurality of command blocks (Fig 6: queue FD lists) formed within a memory structure, which command blocks include addresses of data blocks stored within random access memory [a pointer to the associated BD, and a pointer to the next element on FD queue; Loyer's column 9, lines 33-34]; wherein the first in, first out memory structure includes pointers (Fig 6: pointers to TBC 10) that define addresses of the command blocks [Initialization Table--used by the host to pass initialization parameters and pointers to TBC 10; Loyer's column 9, lines 8-9];

Art Unit: 2188

further forming a memory portion for storing an indicator for indicating whether a command block is in use [Also, TBC 10 maintains status indicators and command response information within this table; Loyer's column 9, lines 9-10].

As for claim 5, Auckland describes the wireless transceiver of claim 1 wherein the modulation circuitry includes GPSK modulation and demodulation circuitry [The radio may support any type of carrier modulation such as frequency modulation (FM), gaussian phase shift keying (GPSK), gaussian mean shift keying (GMSK), quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) or other scheme now known or later developed; Auckland's paragraph 147, lines 2-4].

As for claim 6, the claim recites the wireless transceiver of claim 1 wherein the frequency conversion circuitry converts directly between RF and baseband. Auckland describes an ACU capable of tuning to operate at different frequencies (Auckland's paragraph 76, lines 10-12); Frequency information comes from baseband processor (Fig 6, DSP #614) [The ACU 606 receives frequency, timing, and possibly other control signals at an input 628 from the synthesizer 612, or from the controller 614 as indicated by the dashed line in the drawing figure].

As for claims 7 and 17, they are rejected based on the same rationale as in claim 1.

As for claims 11 and 12, the claims recite the method of claim 10 wherein an address for a data block is only stored in a command block if an indicator reflects that the command block does not contain the address of a data block that has yet to be successfully transmitted; including

Art Unit: 2188

the step of evaluating a command block address stored within a FIFO pointer; including the step of resetting the indicator signal if the transmission was successful.

Loyer teaches frame descriptors (with associated data blocks) being removed when frames are sent out [For transmission the host processor adds frame descriptors (messages) to a transmit queue and TBC 10 removes them as they are sent out; Loyer's column 9, lines 32-33].

As for claim 16, it is rejected based on the same reason as in claim 11.

As for claim 18, it is rejected based on the same rational as in claim 2.

As for claim 19, it is rejected based on the same rational as in claim 4.

As for claim 22, Auckland describes the memory structure of claim 17 wherein the first in, first out memory structure defines a plurality of first in, first out memory blocks (Fig 6: Frame descriptor blocks) wherein each first in, first out memory relates to data blocks (Fig 6: Frame Buffers) that are to be transmitted to a particular device (destination address; Auckland's column 9, lines 30-33)

Claims 13-15,20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Auckland et al (US Pub 2002/0183013) as applied to claims 13,17 respectively, and in view of Loyer (US 4652874) and further in view of Firoozmand (US 5136582).

As for claims 13-15, the claims recite the method of claim 12 further including examining the contents of the command block specified by the pointer to determine a data block address; including the step of evaluating at least the first memory location of the data block (Firoozmand's Fig 13: Frm 1 length) whose address is specified in the command block to determine the size of the data block; including the step of retrieving an amount of data corresponding to the size data block specified in claim 14 and transmitting that data to a radio modem for transmission over a wireless airwaves. Auckland and Loyer do not describe the claim's detail description on size of the data block. However, Firoozmand describes the length field located at first memory location (Firoozmand's Fig 13: Frm 1 length, bits 0 to 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include length field as suggested by Firoozmand in Auckland's system to quickly calculate the length of data block when packet is being received [Establishing locations of receive buffers is more complex. Whereas the length of transmit packets is common and known and their locations in memory also are known, receive packets can be variable in length and locations must be defined dynamically as receive packets arrive from the network; Firoozmand's column 15, lines 34-38].

As for claims 20 and 21, Firoozmand describes the memory portions for storing the indicators are each one bit in length (Firoozmand's Fig 20: long word 1 LW1) [Buffer status bits include an OWN bit that is set by the host processor to signify that this entry is a valid entry for the DMA controller 124 to use, and that the DMA controller "owns" the descriptor; Firoozmand's column 13, lines 60-62]; wherein the memory portions for storing the command blocks are each four bytes in length (Firoozmand's Fig 20: long word 2 LW2).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-4171. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DD

Handwritten signature of Mano Padmanabhan in black ink, with the date 3/17/25 written below it.

**MANO PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

Application/Control Number: 10/008,872
Art Unit: 2188

Page 9